Journal of Physics: Conference Series

#### 012004 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1975/1/012004

# Electronic Structure of Novel Superconductor (Ca<sub>1-x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>)Pd<sub>3</sub>P

I Hase<sup>1,\*</sup>, T Yanagisawa<sup>1</sup>, A Iyo<sup>1</sup>, H Fujihisa<sup>1</sup>, Y Gotoh<sup>1</sup>, H Eisaki<sup>1</sup> and K Kawashima<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba, 305-8568, Japan

<sup>2</sup> IMRA Material R&D Co. Ltd., Kariya, Aichi 448-0032, Japan

\*E-mail: i.hase@aist.go.jp

**Abstract**. In this paper we report the results of the first-principles calculations for  $(Ca_{1-x}Sr_x)Pd_3P$  for various crystal structures observed in experiment. The calculated electron specific heat coefficient shows a good agreement with the experimental values. We found the centrosymmetric phase has a higher density of state at the Fermi level than that of the non-centrosymmetric phase. Possible parity mixing in the non-centrosymmetric phase is estimated as small in this system.

# 1. Introduction

Perovskite compounds with chemical composition ABX<sub>3</sub> (A, B: cation; X: anion) are used as a great number of useful materials due to their stability and the variation of elements. So-called anti-perovskite compounds AB<sub>3</sub>X (A, B: cation; X: anion) have the same structure with ordinary perovskite compounds but the position of cations and anions are interchanged with each other. Anti-perovskite compounds also have rich variation of physical properties such as negative thermal expansion [1], topological crystalline insulator [2], and superconductivity with extremely high upper critical magnetic field  $H_{c2}$  [3].

Among them, recently found superconductor  $(Ca_{1-x}Sr_x)Pd_3P$  has an interesting structural phase transition [4]. It has an anti-perovskite structure in all regions of Sr concentration *x*, but has inversion symmetry (centrosymmetric, CS) in the region where *x* is small and no inversion symmetry (non-centrosymmetric, NCS) in the region where *x* is large. Its  $T_c$  is large in the former (~3.5 K at x = 0.4) and small in the latter (~0.32 K at x = 0.75). The lack of inversion symmetry in antiperovskite superconductor reminds us the pioneer work for CePt<sub>3</sub>Si by Bauer *et al* [3]. In CePt<sub>3</sub>Si, and also in another anti-perovskite-related superconductor Li<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub>B [4], the possibility of enhancement of  $H_{c2}$  and lowering of  $T_c$  are suggested. On the other hand, the lack of inversion symmetry may not so important in other anti-perovskite-related superconductors Li<sub>2</sub>Pd<sub>3</sub>B and Mg<sub>1.9</sub>Rh<sub>3</sub>P [4-7].

We are interested in the mechanism by which low  $T_c$  in the NCS phase occurs. There are two main possibilities: (i) In the NCS phase, the density of states at the Fermi level ( $D(E_F)$ ) becomes smaller, and as a result,  $T_c$  becomes smaller. (ii) Parity mixing occurs in the NCS phase and  $T_c$  decreases.

To investigate these things, in this paper we performed a systematic first-principles band structure calculation of  $(Ca_{1-x}Sr_x)Pd_3P$  using the experimentally observed crystal structures. We found that  $D(E_F)$ 

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

33rd International Symposium on Superconduc	IOP Publishing		
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	<b>1975</b> (2021) 012004	doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1975/1/012004	

in the CS phase is considerably higher than the NCS phase. Furthermore, we investigated the band splitting caused by spin-orbit interaction in the NCS phase, and found that this band splitting is considerably smaller than that of Li<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub>B, which is known to have a large parity mixing. These results strongly suggest that the large change of  $T_c$  is mainly caused by the large change of  $D(E_F)$ .

This paper is organized as follows: The computational details are described in Section 2. The calculated results and the discussions are shown in Section 3. Finally we give some conclusions in Section 4.

## 2. Computational Details

### 2.1. Crystal Structures

 $(Ca_{1-x}Sr_x)Pd_3P$  shows a structural phase transition with changing *x*, the concentration of Sr.  $(Ca_{0.6}Sr_{0.4})Pd_3P$  has the orthorhombic structure (space group Pnma, #62) while  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3$  has the tetragonal structure (I41md, #109). We show a schematic picture of the crystal structure in Figure 1. Note that the former has an inversion center (centrosymmetric, CS), while the latter does not have any inversion center (non-centrosymmetric, NCS). Hereafter we call the former as CS-phase, and the latter as NCS-phase. The lattice constant and the atomic positions are reported in the previous work [7].

Sr-free CaPd<sub>3</sub>P has a related but another crystal structure (space group Aba2, #41) [8]. CaPd<sub>3</sub>P does not show superconductivity above 0.02K. This structure also does not have inversion symmetry.



**Figure 1.** Schematic picture of the crystal structure of  $(Ca_{0.6}Sr_{0.4})Pd_3P$ ,  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3P$  and  $CaPd_3P$ . The labels (a)-(d) correspond to the structure models in the following subsection.

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

#### 012004 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1975/1/012004

# 2.2. Band Calculations

Since  $(Ca_{1-x}Sr_x)Pd_3P$  is a mixed crystal, it is hard to calculate the electronic structure as it is. Therefore, we performed band calculations for the following four perfect crystal models:

- (a)  $CaPd_3P_CS$  :  $CaPd_3P$  using the crystal structure of  $(Ca_{0.6}Sr_{0.4})Pd_3P$
- (b)  $CaPd_3P_NCS : CaPd_3P$  using the crystal structure of  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3P$
- (c) SrPd<sub>3</sub>P\_NCS : SrPd<sub>3</sub>P using the crystal structure of  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3P$
- (d) CaPd<sub>3</sub>P\_Aba2: CaPd<sub>3</sub>P using the crystal structure of CaPd<sub>3</sub>P (non-superconducting phase)

 $(Ca_{0.6}Sr_{0.4})Pd_3P$  and  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3P$  are not only different in composition, but also have different crystal structure. However, comparing the above models, we can separate the two effects of composition and crystal structure. Namely, comparison between the model (a) and model (b) can focus on the crystal structure. Similarly, comparison between the model (b) and model (c) can focus on the chemical composition. We also added model (d) for discussing the structural stability of this system.

This calculation is based on the density functional theory (DFT) and the full-potential linearized augmented plane wave method (FLAPW), and the exchange-correlation potential is approximated by general gradient approximation (GGA) [9]. The whole calculation is implemented by the computer code WIEN2k [10]. The unit cell includes four formula units in model (a) and (d), and eight formula units in model (b) and (c). We used the parameter  $RK_{max}$ =7.0, and the muffin-tin radii are set as r(Ca/Sr) = 2.5, r(Pd) = 2.31 and r(P) = 1.8 a.u.

For self-consistent loop calculations, a 1000 k-points mesh were used for model (a) and (d), and a 250 k-points mesh were used for model (b) and (c), respectively. After convergence of potential, we performed the DOS calculation using 2000 k-points mesh.

The whole calculation is scalar-relativistic and spin-orbit interaction (SOI) is not included. However, as for the model (b)  $CaPd_3P_NCS$ , in order to discuss the effect of anisotropic spin-orbit coupling (ASOC), we performed additional calculation including SOI as a second variational procedure.

#### 3. Results and Discussions

## 3.1. Structural Stability

As shown above, we calculated three structural models (a), (b) and (d) for the same compound CaPd<sub>3</sub>P. Figure 2 shows the schematics of the total energy of them. The structure for the lowest energy is the model (d), which agrees with the experiment [6]. Interestingly, the more Sr content, the higher total energy. This may be due to the larger ionic radius of Sr compared with that of Ca.

**1975** (2021) 012004 doi:10.1088/1742-659

IOP Publishing





**Figure 2.** Total energy diagram for three structural models of CaPd<sub>3</sub>P. Indices (a), (b), (d) correspond to those of the structural models described in subsection 2.2. We found that the model (d) has the lowest energy. These energies are per formula unit, i.e. CaPd<sub>3</sub>P.

### 3.2. Density of States



**Figure 3.** DOS curves of CaPd<sub>3</sub>P and SrPd<sub>3</sub>P for the structural models shown in subsection 2.2. The units of vertical axis are  $eV^{-1}$  per unit cell (i.e. 4 formula units in model (a) and (d), 8 formula units in model (b) and (c)).

We show the DOS curve of CaPd<sub>3</sub>P and SrPd<sub>3</sub>P with various structure models in Figure 3. The whole shape of the DOS curve does not change so much with structure models. The valence band mainly consists of the relatively narrow Pd-d band, and the Fermi level ( $E_F$ ) is located at the top of the d-band. So the DOS at  $E_F$  (= $D(E_F)$ ) is moderate and magnetism is not expected like MgCNi<sub>3</sub> with the similar anti-perovskite structure [11]. This behavior is very similar to SrPt<sub>3</sub>P of which main component is Pt-d orbitals [12]. Note that model (b) and model (c) give almost identical DOS curve in the valence band region, even though the chemical composition is different. In this sense, as a first approximation, A-site

33rd International Symposium on Superconducti	IOP Publishing		
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	<b>1975</b> (2021) 012004	doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1975/1/012004	

cations (Ca or Sr) only play a role for changing crystal structure due to the different ionic radii. Nevertheless, there is a difference in DOS near  $E_F$  depending on the model.  $E_F$  is on a peak in model (a) CaPd<sub>3</sub>P\_CS, while it is in a dip in models (b) CaPd<sub>3</sub>P\_NCS, (c) SrPd<sub>3</sub>P\_NCS and (d) CaPd<sub>3</sub>P\_Aba2. Correspondingly,  $D(E_F)$  is 36.0 Ry<sup>-1</sup>/f.u. in model (a), while  $D(E_F)$  is 22.3, 17.7, 23.4 Ry<sup>-1</sup>/f.u. in models (b), (c), (d), respectively.

**Table I.** Calculated results of  $D(E_{\rm F})$  and  $\gamma_{\rm calc}$  for various structure models. We also show the experimental results of  $\gamma_{\rm expt}$  and  $T_{\rm c}$  for (Ca<sub>0.6</sub>Sr<sub>0.4</sub>)Pd<sub>3</sub>P, (Ca<sub>0.25</sub>Sr<sub>0.75</sub>)Pd<sub>3</sub>P and CaPd<sub>3</sub>P. The last column shows the calculated attractive interaction parameter V using Equation (1).

models	D(E <sub>F</sub> ) [Ry <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\begin{array}{c} \gamma_{calc} \\ [mJ/molK^2] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \gamma_{expt} \\ [mJ/molK^2] \end{array}$	T <sub>c</sub> [K]	θ <sub>D</sub> [K]	V [eV]
(a)CaPd <sub>3</sub> P_CS	36.0	6.24	6.7	~3.5	182	0.0921
(b)CaPd <sub>3</sub> P_NCS	22.3	3.88	] 3.0	~0 32	221	0.0908
(c)SrPd <sub>3</sub> P_NCS	17.7	3.06	] 5.0	~0 <b>.</b> 52	201	0.115
(d)CaPd <sub>3</sub> P_Aba2	23.4	4.04		< 0.02		

We show the calculated results of  $D(E_{\rm F})$  and the electronic specific heat coefficient  $\gamma_{\rm calc}$  in Table I, accompanied with the experimental results of the electronic specific heat coefficient  $\gamma_{\rm expt}$ , and the superconducting temperature  $T_{\rm c}$  for (Ca<sub>0.6</sub>Sr<sub>0.4</sub>)Pd<sub>3</sub>P, (Ca<sub>0.25</sub>Sr<sub>0.75</sub>)Pd<sub>3</sub>P and CaPd<sub>3</sub>P.

From Table I we can see that  $\gamma_{calc}$  of model (a) and  $\gamma_{expt}$  of  $(Ca_{0.6}Sr_{0.4})Pd_3P$  are in good agreement. Similarly,  $\gamma_{calc}$  of model (c) and  $\gamma_{expt}$  of  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3P$  are also in good agreement. Therefore, we can conclude that the so-called mass enhancement due to electron-phonon or electron-electron interaction is small in this system.

From Table I we can also see a clear tendency that CS phase has a higher D,  $\gamma$  and  $T_c$  than the NCS phase (note that Aba2 is also a NCS space group). As described in Section 1, there are two possibilities that can explain the higher  $T_c$  in the CS phase: (i) larger  $D(E_F)$  in CS phase causes higher  $T_c$ , as seen in BCS theory, and/or (ii) a parity mixing takes place and lowers  $T_c$  in the NCS phase.

Hereafter we look at the former possibility (i). The simplest form of the BCS equation is

$$T_c = 1.14\theta_{\rm D}e^{-1/DV} \tag{1}$$

Where *D* is the DOS at  $E_F$ ,  $\theta_D$  is the Debye temperature, and *V* is an attractive interaction parameter. When we consider model (a) and set  $D = 36.0 \text{ Ry}^{-1}$ , and use experimental values for  $(Ca_{0.6}Sr_{0.4})Pd_3P$  as  $\theta_D = 182$  K and  $T_c = 3.45$  K, we obtain V = 0.0921 eV for the CS phase. On the other hand, when we consider model (b) and set  $D = 22.3 \text{ Ry}^{-1}$ , and use experimental values for  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3P$  as  $\theta_D = 231$  K and  $T_c = 0.32$  K, we obtain V = 0.0908 eV for the NCS phase.

We found the ratio  $V^{\text{NCS}}/V^{\text{CS}} = 0.986$ , which is strikingly close to identity. This means that the simplest BCS theory works very well for elucidating the difference of  $T_c$  between the CS phase and the NCS phase. However, we should also note that if we use model (c) for representing D of the NCS phase, we obtain  $V_{\text{NCS}} = 0.115$  eV. Therefore, this analysis has some ambiguity. Moreover, we should also note that the observed specific heat jump  $\Delta C_{el}/\gamma T_c = 1.76$  [13], which is considerably larger than the value ~1.43 predicted by the BCS theory. And we should consider that this system has multiple Fermi surfaces, as we will show later. These facts may affect the superconducting mechanism. Solving this problem is a future task.

# 3.3. Energy Band Dispersion and Anisotropic Spin-Orbit Coupling



**Figure 4.** Energy dispersion curve of model (b) CaPd<sub>3</sub>P\_NCS near  $E_F$ . The left panel is the result when spin-orbit interaction (SOI) is not included, and the right panel is the result when SOI is included. The units of vertical axis are eV. Each band slightly (10 ~ 25 meV) splits by anisotropic spin-orbit coupling (ASOC) except for  $\Gamma$  point and the Brillouin zone boundary such as Z-X line. Note that there are two Z points in each panel, the left one denotes [1 0 0] and the right one denotes [0 0 1]. Namely, the left  $\Gamma$  - Z axis is parallel to  $k_x$ -axis, and the right  $\Gamma$  - Z axis is parallel to  $k_z$ -axis.

Next we discuss the energy band dispersion of  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3P$  in vicinity of  $E_F$ . Figure 4 is the band dispersion for the model (b) CaPd<sub>3</sub>P\_NCS. The left panel is the result without including spin-orbit interaction (SOI), and the right panel is the result with including SOI. Many bands cross  $E_F$ , which shows that this system has multiple Fermi surfaces. In the left panel, we can see that all the bands along Z-X line are doubly degenerated. This is due to the non-symmorphic feature of this structure, which is universally seen at the Brillouin zone boundary in non-symmorphic space group. Since the left panel does not include SOI, therefore these bands are actually 4-fold degenerated including spin degree of freedom.

When we include SOI, this 4-fold degeneracy along Z-X line is partly lifted and split into 2-fold + 2-fold bands. We found that this splitting is rather small as  $10 \sim 25$  meV, as seen in the right panel of Figure 3. The bands which are not on the Brillouin zone boundary also split by SOI, and the 2-fold (spin) degenerated bands split into 1-fold + 1-fold bands.

In the CS structure, this splitting is not appeared even SOI is switched on. In this sense, this splitting is often called as anisotropic spin-orbit coupling (ASOC), which is only seen in NCS structure. ASOC plays a crucial role to induce a parity mixing of the superconducting order parameter in NCS superconductor [14,15]. How much parity mixing occurs depends on the magnitude of ASOC. In Li<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub>B, the band splitting due to ASOC is about 200 meV near  $E_F$  [16]. It is not easy to compare the accurate magnitude of ASOC between Li<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub>B and (Ca<sub>0.25</sub>Sr<sub>0.75</sub>)Pd<sub>3</sub>P directly, because the crystal structure is not

33rd International Symposium on Superconduct	IOP Publishing		
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	<b>1975</b> (2021) 012004	doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1975/1/012004	

the same. However, it is clear that ASOC in  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3P$  is much smaller than that in Li<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub>B. It is known that ASOC of Li<sub>2</sub>Pd<sub>3</sub>B is less than half of that in Li<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub>B. ASOC is mainly determined by the atomic SOI of the principal orbital component of the band. Since Li<sub>2</sub>Pd<sub>3</sub>B and  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3P$  both include Pd-d orbital as the main component of the band near  $E_F$ , ASOC of these two compounds might show similar parity mixing. Therefore we can estimate that the parity mixing of  $(Ca_{0.25}Sr_{0.75})Pd_3P$  is not so large as in Li<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub>B, but is considered to be the same order as in Li<sub>2</sub>Pd<sub>3</sub>B.

As seen in the previous subsection, there is a clear tendency for  $T_c$  to increase as  $D(E_F)$  or  $\gamma$  increases. Although the qualitative explanation using the simple BCS equation may be insufficient, we show the effect of the parity mixing in the NCS phase is not large as in Li<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>3</sub>B. Therefore we conclude that the lower  $T_c$  in the NCS phase is not due to the parity mixing, but is due to the lower  $D(E_F)$ . More precise theoretical and experimental analysis is a future work.

# 4. Conclusion

We have calculated the band structure of novel superconductor  $(Ca_{1-x}Sr_x)Pd_3P$  from first-principles using several crystal structure models. This system shows a structural phase transition when x is increased, and we have shown that the experimentally observed structure at x = 0 is energetically stable. It is shown that the calculated electron heat capacity coefficient is in good agreement with experiment. The difference of  $T_c$  between the two superconducting phases can be mostly explained by using the simplest BCS theory, though this analysis still remains uncertainty. The possible parity mixing due to the noncentrosymmetric crystal structure may be small in this system.

# Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by KAKENHI (Grant No. JP19K03731) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

## References

- [1] Takenaka K et al. 2005 Appl. Phys. Lett. 87 261902
- [2] Hsieh T H et al. 2014 Phys. Rev. B 90 081112(R)
- [3] Bauer E et al. 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 027003
- [4] Yuan H Q, Agterberg A F, Hayashi N, Badica P, Vandervelde D, Togano K, Sigrist M and Salamon M B 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 017006
- [5] Togano K et al. 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 247004
- [6] Iyo A et al. Phys. Rev. Mater. 2019 3 124802
- [7] Hase I et al. 2019 J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1293 012028
- [8] Iyo A et al. 2020 Inorg. Chem. 59 12397
- [9] Perdew J P, Burke K, Ernzerhof M 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 3865
- [10] Blaha P, Schwarz K, Madsen G K H, Kvasnicka D and Luitz J 2001 WIEN2k, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties (Wienna: Vienna Univ. of Technology)
- [11] He T et al. 2001 Nature **411** 54
- [12] Subedi A, Ortenzi L and Boeri L 2013 Phys. Rev. B 87 144504
- [13] Iyo A *et al.* in preparation.
- [14] Frigeri PA, Agterberg DF, Milat I and Sigrist M 2006 Eur. Phys. J. B 54 435

33rd International Symposium on Superconductivity (ISS2020)

**IOP** Publishing

Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1975 (2021) 012004 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1975/1/012004

[15] Samokhin K V and Mineev V P 2008 Phys. Rev. B 77 104520

[16] Lee K -W and Pickett W, 2005 Phys. Rev. B 72 174504